IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1015 of 2003 (M/B)

Siddhartha Badkoti S/o Late Satish Badkoti,
R/o 25 New Haridwar, P.S. Kotwali,
District Haridwar. …… Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
through Chief Conservator of Forest,
Shivalik Range, Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
2. Prabhagiya Van Adhikari,
Haridwar Van Prabhag.
Haridwar,
3. Van Kheshtra Adhikari,
Haridwar Range,
Haridwar. …….. Respondents

Mr. Navneet Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for the respondents.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon. Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon. M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Mr. Navneet Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. Mr. Navneet Kaushik, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition, now, has become infructuous.

3. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**
12.05.2006 **12.05.2006**

A